

Change Document to Accompany the 2018 AIB International Consolidated Standards for Inspection Grain Handling Facilities



Table of Contents

Introduction	2
1. Operational Methods and Personnel Practices.....	2
2. Maintenance for Food Safety.....	8
3. Cleaning Practices	11
4. Integrated Pest Management.....	15
5. Adequacy of Prerequisite and Food Safety Programs.....	24

Introduction

Regulatory developments, including the passage of the US Food and Drug Administration Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA), and other industry best practices impacting the food industry worldwide, created a need for a thorough update of the AIB International *Consolidated Standards for Inspection*.

For the 2017 update, we have implemented several sets of changes:

- AIB reviewed the whole content of the Standard with regard to regulations on a global extent, industry trends, and best practices, so that requirements are streamlined, easily understood, and current.
- New standards have been added and others have been eliminated to ensure that the content is on the leading edge of the industry.
- AIB changed the way data is arranged to better capture findings in like areas so that comparisons and data capture can be centralized. This resulted in the merging and elimination of requirements and, to this end, many standard numbers have changed.
- AIB made minor changes to headings, or changes to numbering, that are not changes to the criteria evaluated in the previous version of the Standards.

The purpose of the Change Document is to highlight the changes, identify additional criteria and changes to content, and outline expectations during an inspection. Minor changes, referred to in the fourth bullet point above, are not described in the document. As always, AIB International wants to provide transparency regarding the reasons behind the changes to the Prerequisite and Food Safety Standards, and the necessary clarification to understand and implement them. By doing so, together we can continue to ensure the ongoing successful implementation of food safety programs worldwide.

1. Operational Methods and Personnel Practices

1.1 Rejection of Shipments/Receipt of Dry Goods

1.1.1.1 Critical – Damaged, infested, or **dirty transports/containers or materials** are rejected.

- Explanation of the change: The scope of the requirement was expanded to include materials. The word “materials” includes but is not limited to raw materials, packaging, work-in-progress, finished products, food contact processing aids, and other as applicable.
- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: Food Safety Professionals will check that the facility rejects damaged, infested, or dirty materials as well as transports and containers.

1.3 Storage Practices

1.3.1.4 Critical – ~~Materials and equipment are stored at least 18 in or 45 cm away from walls and ceilings.~~ **A clear, unrestricted perimeter is provided at floor-wall junctions to ensure adequate access for cleaning, inspection, and IPM activities.**

- *Explanation of the change:* The language was modified to clarify the focus of this requirement, which is that access should be provided to perimeter areas to allow for cleaning, inspection, and IPM activities (rather than a specific number of inches or cm).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check that adequate access is provided to perimeter areas to allow for proper cleaning, inspection, and IPM activities.

1.4 Storage Conditions

1.4.1.1 Critical – Storage areas are **clean, well ventilated, and dry**. Stored materials are protected from condensate, sewage, dust, dirt, chemicals, or other contaminants.

- *Explanation of the change:* New requirement to cover storage conditions in a warehouse, such as packed grains, processing aids and ingredients if any, packaging materials. It might not be applicable if the facility only handles grain in bulk, stored in silos, in which case requirement 1.4.1.7 underneath will apply.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will inspect storage conditions in a warehouse if applicable.

1.4.1.3 Critical – All ~~toxic~~ chemicals, including cleaning and maintenance compounds, and **non-product materials**, including equipment and utensils, **are stored in a separate area**.

- *Explanation of the change:* The word “toxic” was removed, meaning that the requirement should apply to all chemicals and should not be limited to toxic chemicals.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will rate any issues with storage of chemicals.

1.4.1.7 Critical – Grain storage bin tops and bottoms **including associated areas (discharge spouts, conveyors, bucket elevator boots etc.)** are inspected for pest activity, moisture issues, and grain quality on a pre-determined frequency. The frequency of inspection is determined based on seasonal conditions, pest life cycles, and historical data.

- *Explanation of the change:* Language addition to include silo associated areas and other parts of the lines, such as discharge spouts, conveyors, bucket elevator boots etc. where grain leftovers might cause pest infestation issues, moisture may cause mold growth etc..
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will inspect storage bin tops and bottoms as well as other associated areas as described. Records related to such inspections will be checked, as related to their frequency (e.g., slow moving stock records, etc.).

1.9 Bulk Material Handling

1.9.1.4 Critical – ~~If present,~~ **Security** seals on bulk container hatches or other shipping containers are **checked** against the seal number on the ~~bill of lading~~ **shipping document** to verify that the numbers match during shipping and receiving.

- *Explanation of the change:* The language was modified to add clarity: Changed “bill of lading” to “shipping document” and removed “if present” to mean that the security seals are required for all bulk material loads.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check security seal numbers on the shipping documents.

1.9.1.5 Critical – ~~Storage tanks are waterproof.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.

- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: No guidance is required.

1.12 Raw Material Transfer

1.12.1.1 Critical – The facility follows **procedures** for transferring and handling of grain and includes a system to provide traceability information at all times.

- Explanation of the change: The requirement was expanded to include a system that provides traceability information at all times (identification of materials).
- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: Food Safety Professionals will rate any issues with the facility not following procedures for transferring and handling of grains. Issues with inadequate systems that provide traceability information will also be rated.

1.15 Foreign Material Control Devices

1.15.1.10 Critical – Magnets, where present, are **tested for strength** on a defined frequency. Deviations from manufacturer requirements or specifications are addressed.

- Explanation of the change: A new requirement was added to address magnets used as foreign material control devices.
- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: Food Safety Professionals will check that magnets used for food safety purposes are tested for strength on a defined frequency and that testing results are within the manufacturer's requirements or specifications.

1.16 Waste Material Disposal

1.16.1.1 Critical – ~~Trash or inedible waste is stored in properly covered, labeled containers.~~ Waste is stored in **properly identified** containers.

- Explanation of the change: The requirement was modified: Waste containers need to be properly identified to eliminate issues with improper usage.
- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: Food Safety Professionals will check for potential evidence of misuse of containers and/or containers that have not been properly identified.

1.16.1.2 Critical – ~~Waste containers are emptied at least daily.~~ **Waste is managed to prevent pest and microbial issues. Management techniques could include cleaning, covering and emptying containers regularly.**

- Explanation of the change: The requirement was modified: Waste and waste containers should be managed in a way to prevent pest and microbial development. This includes emptying, cleaning, and covering containers as needed.
- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: Food Safety Professionals will check for evidence of effective waste management, through the lack of pest or microbial development.

1.16.1.3 Critical – **Traffic routes for waste disposal do not place food or food contact surfaces at risk.**

- Explanation of the change: A new requirement was added to address traffic routes for waste disposal.
- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: Food Safety Professionals will evaluate and rate any potential risks to products or food contact surfaces during removal of waste.

1.16.1.4 Critical – Trash or inedible waste **is handled in a way that does not come in cause cross-contact with or contamination** to raw materials, work-in-progress, or finished product at any time.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 1.16.1.3. The language was modified to add clarity.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check waste handling activities when possible and will evaluate potential cross-contamination issues.

1.17 Containers, Utensils, and Tools

- *Explanation of the change:* New Standard because of merging 1.17 (applicable in Food Safety) and 1.24. Existing requirements under 1.24 were moved here.

1.17.1.3 Critical – Containers and utensils used to transport, process, hold, or store raw materials, work-in-progress, rework, or finished products are **constructed, handled, and maintained** in a way that prevents contamination.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 1.24.1.1.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

1.17.1.4 Critical – Containers for **materials, including but not limited to raw materials, work-in-progress or finished grain** products, are **only used for their designated purposes**.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 1.24.1.2. The language was modified to add clarity.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

1.17.1.5 Critical – Containers are **legibly labeled with contents**.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 1.24.1.3.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

1.17.1.6 Critical – **Snap-off blades** are not used in production, packaging, or raw material storage areas.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 1.24.1.4.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

1.18 Allergen Handling

1.18.1.6 Critical – Product transportation (grain receiving and shipping) is done in a way that mitigates the risk of allergen cross-contact.

- *Explanation of the change:* New requirement to cover allergen cross-contact issues during grain transportation and handling.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check transportation records, vehicle wash tags, prior load verification etc.

1.18.1.7 Critical – Measures are taken to mitigate the risk of allergen cross contact between allergen containing grains and non-allergen containing grains or different allergen containing grains when handled on the same equipment, as applicable.

- *Explanation of the change:* New requirement to address allergen cross-contact issues during handling in the facility (production process).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will inspect grain handling equipment and check cleaning records or other measures to mitigate allergen cross contact risk as applicable.

~~1.24 Containers and Utensils~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Standard eliminated, requirements moved to section 1.17

1.25 Finished Product Transportation (formerly 1.26)

1.25.1.14 Critical – **Security seals or padlocks** are provided, and their use is documented as per facility or customer requirements.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 1.26.2.2. The minor requirement was elevated to critical.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

1.25.1.15 Critical – Transport vehicles have **not hauled garbage/waste or non-food** items that may cause product contamination.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 1.26.2.5. The minor requirement was elevated to critical.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

1.25.1.16 Critical – **Sanitary transportation regulations and /or procedures have been established and applied to prevent cross-contact and or cross-contamination of grains.**

- *Explanation of the change:* New requirement in place of 1.26.1.14. The intention of change was to make the requirement more broad to address any country or region specific sanitary transportation requirements as applicable.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check that the facility complies with applicable sanitary transportation regulations.

~~**1.26.1.14 Critical** – Vehicles used to transport fertilizer and other non-grain based items are rejected unless properly cleaned.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed and replaced by 1.25.1.16.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

~~**1.26.2.1 Minor** – **Common carriers or customers** are encouraged to maintain their delivery vehicles in sanitary condition, and in good repair.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

~~**1.26.2.4 Minor** – **No odors or other contaminants** are present in transports.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

1.26 Hand Washing Facilities (formerly 1.27)

1.26.1.5 Critical – **“Wash hands”** signs appear above sinks and entries to production areas, where appropriate.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 1.27.1.5. The language was modified to add clarity. “Wash hands” signs are required at all hand washing areas and at production entries.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

~~**1.27.2.1 Minor** – Dispensers for **disposable paper towels** are covered.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

1.27 Washrooms, Showers, and Locker Rooms (formerly 1.28)

1.28.1.2 Critical – ~~No pests or mold are present~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

1.27.1.2 Critical – There are **no open food, drinks, or items that pose a risk to food safety** in lockers or locker rooms.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 1.28.1.3. The language was modified to include all items that might pose a risk to food safety. This could include personnel practices such as storing ingredient scoops and other tools or utensils in lockers, etc.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will extend rating to include mishandling or inappropriate storage of items, which might pose a risk to food safety.

1.27.2.1 Minor – Company-owned **personnel lockers are inspected** on a defined frequency **as allowed by national or local regulations**.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 1.28.2.1. The language was modified to add the phrase “as allowed by national or local regulations” to address regions where the facility is not allowed to inspect personnel lockers for privacy reasons.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

1.28 Personal Hygiene (formerly 1.29)

1.28.1.3 Critical – Personnel are ~~encouraged~~ **required** to practice **good personal hygiene** at all times.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 1.29.1.3. The language was modified to add clarity.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

1.32 Health Conditions (formerly 1.33)

1.32.1.1 Critical – No person with **exposed** boils, sores, infected wounds, or any other **infections or communicable disease** is permitted to contact grain ~~as defined by regulations~~.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 1.33.1.1. The word “exposed” was added for clarity.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

1.33 Non-Facility Personnel (formerly 1.34)

1.33.1.1 Critical – Non-facility personnel conform to the facility **Personnel Practices and company policies programs**. Non-facility personnel include, but are not limited to:

- Visitors
- Temporary personnel
- Regulatory authorities
- Outside contractors
- Tour groups
- Family and friends of personnel
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 1.34.1.1. The language was modified to add the requirement that non-facility personnel should comply with company policies.

- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: No additional guidance is required.

2. Maintenance for Food Safety

2.1 Facility Location

2.1.2.2 Critical – ~~Effective measures are in place to prevent product contamination from neighboring properties. These measures are periodically reviewed.~~

- Explanation of the change: Requirement removed.
- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: No guidance is required.

2.2 Outside Grounds and Roof

2.2.1.2 Critical – Litter and waste are removed from the property **as necessary to maintain sanitary conditions.**

- Explanation of the change: Language was added to provide clarity.
- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: Food Safety Professionals will rate observations of unsanitary conditions of the outside grounds related to litter and waste removal.

2.2.1.3 Critical – **Vegetation such as trees, shrubs, weeds, and tall grass are do** not ~~near~~ provide pest harborage or access to the building.

- Explanation of the change: The language was modified to include any vegetation that could provide pest harborage or access to the building.
- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: Food Safety Professionals will rate any issues with vegetation such as trees, shrubs, and weeds near the building that could increase pest ingress possibilities.

2.2.1.8 Critical – The **roof, and structures, and outside grounds** are well maintained.

- Explanation of the change: The requirement was expanded to include outside grounds.
- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: Food Safety Professionals will rate any issues with the roof, building structures, and outside grounds maintenance.

2.2.2.1 Minor – ~~Outdoor equipment storage is minimal.~~

- Explanation of the change: Requirement removed.
- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: No guidance is required.

2.2.2.1 Minor – **Truck bays and garage areas** are maintained **and cleaned** to prevent pest attraction or harborage.

- Explanation of the change: The requirement was expanded to include cleanliness issues that could cause pest attraction in truck bays and garage areas.
- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: Food Safety Professionals will look for evidence of maintenance and cleanliness issues that could cause pest attraction at truck bays and garage areas.

2.3 Security Equipment

- Explanation of the change: The standard was removed because it is not directly linked to food safety (food defense issues should be rated under 5.15 Food

Defense and physical observations should be evaluated according to the vulnerability assessment).

2.3.2.1 Minor – ~~Physical security measures that require maintenance or design can include:~~

- ~~Perimeter fences~~
- ~~Surveillance cameras~~
- ~~Locked doors~~
- ~~Security guard stations~~
- ~~Controlled access~~
- ~~Controlled bulk storage areas~~
- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

2.3 Layout (formerly 2.4)

2.3.1.1 Critical – Space is maintained **between** equipment and structures to enable ~~cleaning~~ dismantling and maintenance activities.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 2.4.1.1. The language was modified to include dismantling and maintenance activities.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will evaluate the space between equipment to allow access for dismantling and maintenance activities related to food safety.

2.3.1.2 Critical – ~~There is adequate space to place equipment and raw materials. Adequate space is provided between equipment or structures to allow access for cleaning, inspection, and IPM activities.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* The original requirement is addressed in 2.4.1.1 and 1.19.2.3. A new requirement was added to address layouts that, in some cases, include structures with narrow voids between adjacent areas (e.g., office space in production or warehouses).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will rate the presence of narrow void between equipment and/or structures and evaluate access provided for cleaning, inspection, and IPM activities.

2.6 Walls (formerly 2.7)

2.6.1.3 Critical – Walls are **designed, constructed, finished, and maintained** to:

- Prevent dirt accumulation
- Reduce condensation and mold growth
- Facilitate cleaning
- **Withstand operation environment (e.g., high moisture)**
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 2.7.1.3. The requirement was expanded to include that walls should be durable to withstand operation environment.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will evaluate the maintenance condition of walls and their ability to withstand operation environment, as related to food safety issues.

2.7 Ceilings and Overhead Structures (formerly 2.8)

2.7.1.3 Critical – Ceilings and overheads are **designed, constructed, finished,** and maintained to:

- Prevent dirt accumulation
- Reduce condensation and mold/**microbial** growth
- Facilitate cleaning
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 2.8.1.3. Language was added to expand the requirement as related to microbial issues and to add clarity.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

2.7.1.5 Critical – Fixtures, ducts, pipes, and overhead structures are installed and maintained so that **drips, leaks, and condensation do not contaminate foods grains, raw other** materials, or ~~food grain~~ contact surfaces..

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 2.8.1.5. The requirement was expanded to include issues with product leaks and other leaks.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will evaluate overhead structures with regards to leaks, drips, and condensation issues that could affect grain safety.

2.8 Glass, Brittle Plastics, and Ceramics Control (formerly 2.9)

2.9.1.4 Critical – ~~Glass that cannot be fully protected is addressed in the Glass, Brittle Plastics, and Ceramics Program.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed. The requirement was included under requirement 2.8.1.4.

2.8.1.2 Critical – Light bulbs, fixtures, windows, mirrors, skylights, and other glass suspended over product zones, product areas, **and material storage areas ingredients, or packaging supplies** are of the **safety type** or are otherwise protected to prevent breakage.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 2.9.1.2. The requirement was expanded to include lighting in finished goods storage areas.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Unprotected lighting should be evaluated based on risk of product contamination, including inadvertent transfer of broken glass from storage areas to exposed product areas.

2.8.1.4 Critical – Only **essential glass, brittle plastics (acrylic), and ceramics are** is present in the facility. If **these materials** glass must be used, **they are** it is addressed in by the Glass, Brittle Plastics, and Ceramics Program.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 2.9.1.5. The language was generalized to include all issues related with essential glass, brittle plastics, and ceramics present in the facility.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will evaluate and rate observations related to essential glass, brittle plastics, and ceramics present in areas where product contamination is likely.

2.15 Temporary Repair Materials (formerly 2.16)

2.15.1.1 Critical – **Tape, wire, string, cardboard, plastic, and other temporary materials are not used** for permanent repairs. If used for emergency repairs, they are dated, **controlled** and replaced with a permanent repair as soon as possible.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 2.16.1.1. The requirement was expanded to include control of deteriorating temporary repairs in case this happens before a permanent solution can be installed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

2.15.1.3 Critical – The facility maintains a **record of work orders** or repair requests, **which include progress and status**.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 2.16.1.3. The requirement was expanded to include progress and status (e.g., pending or completed) of the work orders. The language of the requirement was modified to address work orders with no follow up, which are observed in many cases.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check the progress and status information of the work order records.

2.15.1.4 Critical – The facility follows **temporary repair procedures**, **which include a list of materials approved for use as temporary repairs**.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 2.16.1.4. A new requirement was added that the facility should define materials allowed for use in temporary repairs (e.g., product packaging should not be allowed for temporary repairs).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check the procedure and list of materials approved for use as temporary repairs with regards to food safety concerns.

2.19 Parts Storage (formerly 2.20)

2.19.1.3 Critical – Only **clean repair parts and equipment** are stored in parts storage areas.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 2.20.2.1. The minor requirement was elevated to critical.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

2.19.2.1 Minor – **Small items such as nuts, bolts, washers and other, are properly stored to prevent contamination of product or damage to equipment**.

- *Explanation of the change:* A new requirement was added to address storage for small items that may cause product contamination issues.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check that small items are properly stored to prevent food safety issues.

3. Cleaning Practices

3.2 ~~Food Contact~~ Cleaning Compounds and Sanitizers

- *Explanation of the change:* The scope of the requirement was expanded to add clarity and address chemical residues as well.

3.2.1.1 Critical – All cleaning compounds and sanitizers used to clean grain contact surfaces **have food contact surface approval documentation**.

- *Explanation of the change:* The word “surface” was added to increase accuracy.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

3.3 ~~Equipment and~~ Cleaning Tools and Utensils

- *Explanation of the change:* The standard was divided into two for clarity and uniformity purposes. This standard refers to cleaning tools and utensils and the next standard addresses cleaning equipment issues.

3.3.1.1 Critical – Cleaning ~~equipment and~~ tools and utensils are available for use.

- *Explanation of the change:* The word “equipment” was removed as a subsequent requirement addresses this.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

3.3.1.2 Critical – Cleaning ~~equipment is~~ tools and utensils are maintained and stored in a way that does not contaminate foods, packaging, or production equipment.

- *Explanation of the change:* The word “equipment” was replaced by “tools and utensils” as a subsequent requirement addresses equipment. The requirement was expanded to include packaging.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will evaluate the maintenance condition of cleaning tools and utensils with regards to food and/or packaging contamination issues.

3.3.1.3 Critical – Separate and distinct tools and utensils are used to clean ~~food~~ grain contact surfaces (product zones) and structures (product areas).

- *Explanation of the change:* The word “tools” was added for uniformity purposes and to include all relevant items.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

3.3.1.4 Critical – Tools and utensils used to clean restrooms or floor drains are never used for any other cleaning purposes.

- *Explanation of the change:* The word “tools” was added for uniformity purposes and to include all relevant items.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

3.3.1.5 Critical – All cleaning tools and utensils are cleaned and properly stored after use. Proper storage includes segregation to ensure that cross-contamination does not occur.

- *Explanation of the change:* The word “tools” was added for uniformity purposes and to include all relevant items.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

3.3.1.6 Critical – A color-code or other type of classification is in place to identify and separate cleaning tools and utensils based on their intended usage.

- *Explanation of the change:* The word “tools” was added for uniformity purposes and to include all relevant items.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

3.3.1.8 Critical – Cleaning tools and utensils that may create debris, such as wire brushes, sponges, and scrub pads, are not used unless absolutely necessary. If used, the area is inspected after use to identify and eliminate any remaining debris that could contaminate product.

- *Explanation of the change:* The word “tools” was added for uniformity purposes and to include all relevant items.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

3.3.1.9 Critical – If applicable, separate and distinct tools and utensils are used to clean grain contact surfaces between different allergens or non-allergens.

- *Explanation of the change:* A new requirement was added to address the use of different tools and utensils when it comes to allergen cleaning to avoid cross-contamination issues.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will evaluate and rate observations related to the use of tools and utensils during allergen cleaning that pose a risk of cross-contamination.

3.4 Cleaning Equipment

- *Explanation of the change:* The standards were divided for clarity and uniformity purposes. This standard refers to cleaning equipment requirements.

3.4.1.1 Critical – Water used for cleaning in wet production areas is restricted and used in a way that does not contaminate raw materials, work-in-progress, **packaging**, or production equipment with droplets, mist, or direct contact.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 3.3.1.9. The scope of the requirement was expanded to include packaging. Food contact packaging should be handled as an ingredient.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

3.4.1.2 Critical – Compressed air used for cleaning is restricted and used in a way that does not contaminate materials, packaging, equipment, and overheads.

- *Explanation of the change:* New requirement added to address the use of compressed air for cleaning.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will rate any issues of cross-contamination or other bad practices related to compressed air used for cleaning.

3.4.1.6 Critical – Auxiliary equipment (e.g. forklifts, pallet jacks, aerial lifts, and similar equipment) are cleaned and well maintained.

- *Explanation of the change:* A new requirement was added to address the cleaning of auxiliary equipment, which is commonly omitted from the cleaning schedule and, at times, the equipment is used in product areas and over product zones. The auxiliary equipment may also be an insect and rodent harborage area (similar to former requirement 3.3.2.3, which was elevated to critical with modified language).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will evaluate the cleaning and maintenance condition of auxiliary equipment and review associated records.

3.5 Daily (Housekeeping) Cleaning

3.5.1.2 Critical – Daily cleaning tasks **are clearly assigned to the appropriate department and completed.**

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 3.4.1.2. The scope of the requirement was expanded to include completion of assigned tasks.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will evaluate and rate observations related to daily housekeeping tasks not assigned or not being completed.

3.6 Operational Cleaning

- *Explanation of the change:* A new standard was added to address daily product zone cleaning.

3.6.1.3 Critical – Allergen cleaning is completed, verified, and documented if applicable.

- *Explanation of the change:* A new requirement was added to address cleaning when allergens are involved.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will review line change-over cleaning records and verification documentation for allergen cleaning.

3.7 Periodic Cleaning Tasks / Product Zone Cleaning

- *Explanation of the change:* The language was modified to add accuracy and distinguish from operational cleaning (this is the down-time deep cleaning conducted on the lines).

3.7.1.1 Critical – Periodic cleaning tasks comply with applicable equipment cleaning procedures, which are being followed.

- *Explanation of the change:* Language was added to include the requirement that procedures are being followed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will observe cleanliness of equipment, review cleaning procedures and check completion records.

3.7.1.3 Critical – Periodic cleaning tasks are assigned and completed.

- *Explanation of the change:* Language was added to include the requirement that assigned periodic cleaning tasks are completed as well.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will observe cleanliness of equipment and review cleaning records.

3.7.1.5 Critical – Equipment and structural overheads (including lights, pipes, and beams; and vent grids) are scheduled for periodic cleaning on the Master Cleaning Schedule to prevent mold, insect development, or other product contamination issues.

- *Explanation of the change:* The words “vent grids” were removed because they are addressed in new requirement 3.7.1.6.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

3.7.1.6 Critical – Ventilation, air extracting ducts and vent grids are dismantled and cleaned on a defined frequency to prevent contamination issues.

- *Explanation of the change:* A new requirement was added to cover ventilation, air extracting ducts, vent grids, and other similar structures that are often overlooked or cleaned superficially and may cause contamination issues due to dirt, dust, debris, condensation, or insect development. (A superficial cleaning might address easy-to-access parts rather than dismantling and effectively cleaning the equipment to prevent insect development.)
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will inspect and evaluate cleaning condition of ventilation and other equipment and will review associated cleaning records.

3.7.1.15 Critical – Floor sweepings and dust collection materials and other dockage generated from cleaning activities are not reintroduced into the grain stream.

- *Explanation of the change:* Language modification with no actual change to the meaning of the requirement.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

3.8 Maintenance Cleaning

- *Explanation of the change:* A new standard was added that includes existing requirements moved from Product Zone Cleaning to a separate requirement to add clarity and avoid misinterpretation. Maintenance cleaning is a separate task that needs to be completed every time maintenance work is done and is not necessarily part of the periodic cleaning activities on the product zone areas.

3.8.1.1 Critical – Maintenance cleaning tasks are completed in a way that does not compromise product safety. This includes, but is not limited to, removal of debris **after maintenance tasks are complete** (such as nuts, bolts, washers, wire pieces, tape, welding rods, and other small items) that could contaminate product, and accounting for these materials.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former 3.5.1.11. The phrase “after maintenance tasks are complete” was added to increase accuracy.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

3.9 Non-Product Zone and Support Area Cleaning

3.9.1.4 Critical – Non-production areas **used for the storage** of equipment, raw materials, finished products, **packaging**, or product contact utensils are cleaned and maintained to prevent contamination of product, ~~raw~~ materials, or equipment.

- *Explanation of the change:* The language was modified to include packaging, as food contact packaging should be handled as an ingredient. “Raw materials” was replaced by “materials” to expand the requirement to include work-in-progress, other ingredients, processing aids, and other materials as applicable.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will expand rating to all storage areas and warehouses present.

4. Integrated Pest Management

4.1 Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Program

4.1.1.4 Critical – If the IPM Program development and implementation is outsourced to contractors, the program includes responsibilities for **both in-house personnel and contractors**. **An in-house, technically responsible person is appointed to monitor the execution of the program.**

- *Explanation of the change:* The minor requirement was elevated to critical. Language was added to require an in-house technically responsible person. Experience has indicated that it is critical for in-house personnel to follow the outsourced IPM Program.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

4.2 Facility Assessment

4.2.1.1 Critical – Internal or external **trained IPM personnel** conduct **an annual** assessment of the facility **at least annually**. **Training includes at a minimum Pest Biology and applicable IPM regulations.**

- *Explanation of the change:* The language was modified to include that assessment needs to be completed by trained personnel, with minimum training

requirements Pest Biology and applicable IPM regulations. The frequency was changed from “annual” to “at least annually.”

- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: Food Safety Professionals will review the facility assessment and training records for personnel conducting it.

4.2.1.2 Critical – The assessment evaluates all areas **inside and outside** the facility, **and** includes:

- **Historical data from prior 12 months at a minimum**
- **Identification of pest species present including extent and distribution of presence**
- **Assessment of the environment that could provide opportunity for pest harborage and proliferation**
- **Previously applied corrective actions and their effectiveness**
- Explanation of the change: The language was modified to add clarity and increase accuracy. In many facilities the assessment is only a physical inspection mentioning pest-proofing issues that does not go into detail or actual assessment and evaluation of the pest management program. This change outlines the minimum elements that need to be considered during the assessment.
- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: Food Safety Professionals will review the facility assessment and evaluate its depth with regards to elements described in this requirement.

4.2.1.4 Critical – ~~Assessments are conducted by internal or external~~ **trained IPM personnel.**

- Explanation of the change: Requirement removed. The requirement is covered under 4.2.1.1.
- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: No guidance is required.

4.3 Other Guidelines

4.3.1.1 Critical – ~~IPM Programs established under alternative guidelines (such as organic, green, or sustainable) demonstrate effective pest management through the lack of evidence of pest management issues, and by meeting the criteria in the IPM section of this Standard.~~

- Explanation of the change: Removed Standard 4.3 because the alternative guidelines (such as for organic, green, etc.) are not directly linked with food safety, and thus are not necessarily within the scope of this standard.
- Guidance on evaluation of criteria: No additional guidance is required.

4.3 Signed Contracts Scope of Service (formerly 4.4)

- *A clearly defined scope of service details all applicable pest management activities and responsibilities and serves as the foundation for an effective IPM Program.*
- Explanation of the change: The language in the title was modified to add clarity.

4.3.1.1 Critical – The facility has ~~a signed contract that~~ **defined scope of service** includes:

- **Both the facility and the IPM company name**
- **IPM Facility contact person both for the facility and the contractor**
- Frequency of services
- Description of ~~contracted~~ **contracted** services and how they will be completed

- Term of contract
- Equipment and material storage specifications, where applicable
- List of approved chemicals, prior to use
- Emergency call procedures (when, why, whom to call)
- Service records to be maintained
- Requirement to notify facility of any changes in service or materials used
- *Explanation of the change*: Former requirement 4.4.1.1. The language was modified to correct a typo and for accuracy. The detailed elements need to be clearly defined, though not necessarily in the signed contract (in many cases they are in an addendum or other document detailing the scope of service). A contact person for both sides needs to be appointed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria*: Food Safety Professionals will review the scope of service document.

4.4 Credentials and Competencies (formerly 4.5)

4.4.1.3 Critical – **Persons conducting IPM services have documented** ~~Applicators provide verification of GMP Training.~~

- *Explanation of the change*: The language was modified to add clarity with no change to the meaning or intent of the requirement.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria*: No additional guidance is required.

4.5 Pesticide Documentation (formerly 4.6)

4.6.1.1 Critical – ~~Chemical Safety Data Sheets or equivalent are on file for all pesticides used in the facility by in-house personnel or contractors. Documentation is available for review on request as hard copy or electronic files.~~

- *Explanation of the change*: Requirement removed. Chemical safety data sheets are not directly related to food safety. They mainly concern occupational safety, and thus are not within scope of this standard.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria*: No additional guidance is required.

4.6 Pesticide Application Documentation (formerly 4.7)

4.6.1.1 Critical – Documented **pesticide application activities** include:

- Product name of materials applied
- The EPA, PMRA, or product registration number as required by law
- Target pest
- Rate of application or percent of concentration
- Specific location of application
- Method of application
- Amount of pesticide used at the application site
- Date and time of application
- **Printed name** and signature of applicator
- *Explanation of the change*: Former requirement 4.7.1.1. Language was added to include the printed name of the applicator.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria*: No additional guidance is required.

4.7 Pesticide Control (formerly 4.8)

4.7.1.6 Critical – The facility maintains a complete **inventory of all stored pesticides.**

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.8.1.6. The language was modified to add clarity. The requirement applies to stored pesticides on site at the facility.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

4.8 Trend Analysis (formerly 4.9)

4.8.1.2 Critical – The **pest-sighting log or reporting system** provides information about the response taken by pest management personnel.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.9.1.2. The language was modified to add “reporting system” for facilities that might not use a pest-sighting log and have another reporting system (e.g., computerized).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

4.8.1.4 Critical – The **pest-sighting log has a designated location or reporting system is available to facility personnel.**

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.9.1.4. The language was modified to include engagement of facility personnel.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will review the pest-sighting log or pest reporting system.

4.8.1.5 Critical – **Information gathered through the pest-sighting log or reporting system includes:**

- Date
- Time
- Type of pests observed
- Location
- Actions taken
- Names of reporting personnel
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.9.1.5. The language was modified to include another reporting system (if a pest-sighting log is not used) and to require information about the location of the sighting.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

4.8.1.6 Critical – ~~Pest management personnel review the log each quarter to identify trends in pest activity.~~ **Pest sightings and activity evidence are reviewed by pest management personnel at least quarterly or more frequently to identify trends.** A report of findings is submitted to designated facility personnel.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.9.1.6. The language was modified to make the requirement more accurate and current. There have been cases where the log is used for facility personnel, while contractor’s findings are documented in service records, and there is no merging of the two to get accurate information on pests seen. Also, based on activity levels, monthly trending may be more appropriate.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check that trend analysis records include all current information on activity evidence and pest sightings, and that follow up is performed.

4.8.1.7 Critical – ~~Corrective Actions are documented for identified issues~~ **are applied and documented as complete.**

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.9.1.7. The language was modified to make the requirement more accurate and include closing of

Corrective Actions. Evaluation of Corrective Actions also needs to be completed for EU countries.

- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will review Corrective Action records and follow-up activities.

4.9 Monitoring Device Documentation (formerly 4.10)

4.9.1.2 Critical – A current and accurate **site map** that lists the locations of all ~~pest~~ monitoring devices used ~~in rodent and insect control~~ **for target pests** is on file.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.10.1.2. The language was modified to increase accuracy.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

4.9.1.3 Critical – **Temporary placement** of any pest monitoring devices for short-term monitoring is **also mapped separately**. **Findings Device checks** are documented according to the frequency defined by the IPM Program. **Devices that are no longer needed are accounted for and removed.**

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.10.1.3. The language was modified to add flexibility. In some cases, a separate map gets lost or forgotten and traps are left uncontrolled. The plant can choose to map temporary traps in the total site map or separately. A requirement was added that unneeded temporary devices are accounted for and removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check site maps for permanent and temporary devices.

4.9.1.4 Critical – ~~The facility~~ **Records of all services** performed on all pest-monitoring devices **are available.**

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.10.1.4. The language was modified for accuracy. All observations and services performed on all pest-monitoring devices need to be documented (not documenting by exception, only when a finding is identified).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

~~**4.10.1.5 Critical** – Services for monitoring devices are **documented with recording mechanisms**, such as punch cards, bar codes, or ledgers, and may be maintained in hard copy or electronic format.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

4.9.1.5 Critical – Service records for monitoring devices ~~match documentation on file in the facility~~ **IPM Program requirements.**

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.10.1.6. The language was modified to expand the requirement so that service records meet IPM Program requirements.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check service records for monitoring devices.

4.10 Exterior Rodent Monitoring Devices (formerly 4.11)

~~**4.10.1.1 Critical** – Based on the detailed facility survey, exterior monitoring devices are placed along the **foundation walls** on the exterior of the facility. **The placement of exterior rodent monitoring devices is based on the detailed facility survey** and activity history or as required by country or local regulatory requirements. In the absence of an assessment, devices are placed at intervals of 50–100 ft. or 15–30 m.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.11.1.1 combined with 4.11.2.1. Placement of exterior monitoring devices should be based on the facility survey and take into consideration historical data and sightings, identified pest species, assessment of environment, and previous corrective actions. The placement should target harborage and nesting areas to eliminate presence. If the placement of devices cannot be based on the assessment, interval guidelines provided are based on the “Norway Rat” and “Roof Rat” sections of *Rodent Control: A Practical Guide for Pest Management Professional*, by Robert M. Corrigan.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check placement of the external monitoring devices.

4.10.1.2 Critical – All exterior monitoring devices are inspected **at least monthly** ~~There devices are checked~~ or more often ~~when~~ **if** activity levels **dictate** ~~increase~~.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.11.1.2. The language was modified slightly with no change to the meaning or intent of the requirement.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

4.10.1.3 Critical – Exterior ~~bait stations~~ **monitoring devices** ~~that containing~~ **rodenticides are locked** with single-use plastic ties, padlocks, or devices provided by the manufacturer, such as key systems.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.11.1.3. The words “exterior bait stations” were changed to “monitoring devices” to be more accurate and in line with the requirement title.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

4.10.1.4 Critical – Exterior ~~bait stations~~ **rodent monitoring devices** are **tamper resistant** and are positioned, anchored in place, locked, and labeled.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.11.1.4. The words “exterior bait stations” were changed to “monitoring devices” to be more accurate and in line with the requirement title.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

4.10.1.7 Critical – **When mechanical traps or non-toxic bait are used for exterior monitoring, they are checked frequently enough to identify rodent pressure outside the plant and provisions are in place for the detection of rodent activity, effectiveness, cleanliness, and placement of the devices.**

- *Explanation of the change:* A new requirement was added: The frequency of inspection of mechanical traps used for exterior monitoring should be defined by the plant based on risk assessment and history of activity, ensuring the effectiveness of the pest management program.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

4.10.1.8 Critical – **Where prohibited by regulations, rodenticides are not used for regular monitoring.**

- *Explanation of the change:* A new requirement was added: According to new EU Standard, rodenticides are used to treat infestations and only non-toxic bait or mechanical devices are used for regular monitoring. (This requirement is not applicable to the US and other regions.)
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

4.10.2.1 Minor – ~~Monitoring devices are placed at intervals of 50-100 ft or 15-30 m. Areas of high rodent activity should have a higher concentration of devices.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed (incorporated into 4.11.1.1).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

4.10.2.1 Minor – Evidence of **non-target wildlife** feeding at the exterior monitoring locations, where rodenticides are used, is evaluated and addressed as required by regulations.

- *Explanation of the change:* A new requirement was added to address bait being consumed by non-target animals.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check records, evaluation of activity (wildlife damage), and response as required by regulations.

4.11 Interior Rodent Monitoring Devices (formerly 4.12)

4.11.1.1 Critical – ~~Toxic and non-toxic commercial baits (blocks, liquids, etc.) are~~ bait is not used for interior monitoring.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.12.1.1. Non-toxic monitoring/tracking bait allowed for proactive IPM Programs (as seen in following requirement 4.12.1.7).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

4.11.1.2 Critical – Based on the detailed facility survey, interior monitoring devices are placed in **sensitive areas** specific to the rodent species, and other areas of ~~pest rodent~~ activity, ~~which may include~~ing:

- Incoming materials warehouses or primary storage areas for raw materials
- Maintenance areas with exterior access
- Staging areas where materials are placed after delivery from the warehouse
- Finished product warehouse areas
- Areas with the potential for rodent access due to traffic patterns or activities that take place
- Overhead areas where roof rat activity is evident or likely
- High traffic areas
- Both sides of doors that open to the exterior of the facility. **In the absence of an assessment monitoring devices are placed at intervals of 20–40 ft. or 6–12 m along exterior walls, and are strategically placed in sensitive areas toward the interior of the facility.**
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.12.1.2. The placement of interior monitoring devices should be based on the facility survey and take into consideration historical data and sightings, identified pest species, assessment of environment, previous corrective actions, and they should consider sensitive areas such as the examples provided. If the placement of devices cannot be based on the assessment, interval guidelines provided are based on the “House Mouse” section of *Rodent Control: A Practical Guide for Pest Management Professional*, by Robert M. Corrigan.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check placement of the interior rodent monitoring devices.

4.11.1.4 Critical – Interior monitoring devices are **appropriately** positioned, cleaned, and **inspected at least weekly, or as otherwise defined in the IPM Program based on the detailed facility assessment, if the facility can demonstrate the consistent performance of the equipment and effectiveness of the IPM Program.**

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.12.1.4. Due to new technology devices that do not require very frequent inspections and other reasons, the facility may choose based on their assessment (and absence of pest sightings) a frequency of inspections other than weekly if the consistent performance of monitoring equipment and efficiency of the IPM Program can be demonstrated.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check the frequency of internal monitoring device inspections, service records, pest-sighting logs, and trend reports to assess effectiveness of the program. Effectiveness includes timely removal of rodents to prevent attraction of secondary pests, mechanically functioning devices, and cleanliness of devices to prevent attraction for insects.

4.11.1.7 Critical – When **non-toxic monitoring/tracking bait** is used for interior monitoring, a documented proactive program is in place that defines frequency of inspections, identification of non-toxic bait placement, use according to label directions, and corrective action plans for identification and tracking of resident pest populations and elimination of activity when detected.

- *Explanation of the change:* A new requirement was added that non-toxic monitoring/tracking bait may be used to provide a roadmap of where the pests have been going in the plant. This bait may be used in a proactive way.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will evaluate the program and use of non-toxic monitoring/tracking bait in a proactive way.

4.12.2.1 Minor – ~~Monitoring devices are placed at intervals of 20-40 ft or 6-12 m along exterior walls, and are strategically placed in sensitive areas toward the interior of the facility.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed (incorporated into 4.12.1.2)
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

4.12 Insect Light Traps (formerly 4.13)

4.12.1.1 Critical – Insect light traps, **when used**, are installed further than **10 ft or 3 m from food contact surfaces**, exposed products, packaging, and raw materials in processing or storage areas.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.13.1.1. Language was added to clarify that the use of insect light traps should be based on the facility assessment and is not necessarily required by this standard. The requirement applies if insect light traps are used on site.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* The lack of installed insect light traps will be assessed by Food Safety Professionals, through the demonstrated absence of flying insects.

4.12.1.2 Critical – Insect light traps are installed in a way that does **not attract insects** to the facility **or exposed grain**.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.13.1.2. The language was modified slightly.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

4.12.1.3 Critical – **Service checks** are performed on all units on a weekly basis during the active season and a monthly basis during colder seasons or as dictated by climate **and activity rates**. These checks include:

- Emptying collection devices

- Cleaning the units
- Repairs
- Checks for tube breakage
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.13.1.3. The language was modified to clarify the intent of the requirement: More frequent service checks are required if activity rates are high and less frequent if they are low.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check frequency of service checks for insect trap lights service records, trends, etc.

4.12.1.4 Critical – **Shatter-resistant lights** are used in all units **located in raw materials and production areas. Other lights are or otherwise explained managed** in the facility's Glass, Brittle Plastics, and Ceramics Program.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.13.1.4. The language was modified to add clarity as to the requirement for shatter resistant lights.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check the use of shatter resistant lights in raw material and production areas and that the facility manages and inspects other type (non-shatter resistant) used in other areas in the Glass, Brittle Plastics, and Ceramics Program.

4.12.1.5 Critical – All services provided to light traps are **documented. Service records are kept in the device and on file with the pest management documentation.**

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.13.1.5. Part of requirement was deleted because it was obsolete.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

4.12.1.6 Critical – Insect light traps are used to **monitor flying insect activity** at locations **that are likely to allow access to the facility identified by the annual IPM assessment.**

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.13.1.6. Use and placement of insect light traps should be based on the facility assessment considering historical data of at least 12 months, identified species, environment, previously applied corrective actions, etc.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check use and placement of insect light traps devices.

4.12.2.1 Minor – Insect light trap **tubes are changed** at least annually at the beginning of the active season **or based on manufacturer's recommendations.**

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.13.2.1. Language was added to allow for new technology lights that might have other requirements.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

4.13 Pheromone Monitoring Devices (formerly 4.14)

4.13.1.1 Critical – **When used**, pheromone monitoring devices, **appropriate to the pest species** are **installed, maintained, and replaced** according to label requirements **and the annual IPM assessment.**

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 4.14.1.1. The requirement was modified to include lures that need to be appropriate to the pest species identified. Devices and lures should be maintained and replaced as needed (recommended by label).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

4.14 Bird Control (formerly 4.15)

4.15.1.3 Critical – ~~Avicides are used according to label directions and local regulations.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

4.16 Pest Habitat Identified Pest Activity (formerly 4.17)

- *Explanation of the change:* The title language was modified for accuracy. This Standard will include observations related to pest habitat, including resident pests (if present), environment assessment, and occasional intruders.

5. Adequacy of Prerequisite and Food Safety Programs

5.1 Written Policy

- *Explanation of the change:* The standard was removed to help with time management of the audits and keep them inspection based. The intent of the requirement may be covered in the accountability section.

5.1.1.1 Critical – ~~There is a written Policy Statement that outlines the facility's commitment to produce safe, legal products for consumers.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

5.1.2.1 Minor – ~~Senior management signs the Policy Statement.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

5.1.2.2 Minor – ~~The Policy Statement is regularly communicated throughout the facility.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

5.1.2.3 Minor – ~~Senior management regularly reviews the Policy Statement.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

5.1.2.4 Minor – ~~Supervisory staff and key personnel are trained to understand and implement the Policy Statement.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

5.1 Accountability (formerly 5.2)

5.2.1.2 Critical – ~~The facility has a current and accurate organizational chart that shows who is responsible for ensuring compliance to regulatory laws and guidelines.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

5.1.1.2 Critical – The facility has a documented procedures to keep the Prerequisite and Food Safety Programs **current and accurate, which includes accountability and compliance to statutory and regulatory laws and guidelines pertaining to food safety and legality.**

Important new information could include:

- Legislation

- Food safety issues
- Scientific and technical developments
- Industry codes of practice
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.2.1.3. Language was added to include the requirement for accountability and compliance to applicable regulations concerning food safety.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check the documented procedures and accountability.

5.1.1.3 Critical – ~~Facilities define written procedures to meet legislative requirements as defined by country or export requirements (e.g. allergen labeling and control, Reportable Food Registry, Food Safety Modernization Act, etc.). The facility is aware of the program and its role in implementing the requirements.~~ **Companies define written procedures to identify new food safety regulations. Facilities register with appropriate Government Agencies based on site location and countries of export.**

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.2.1.4. The language was modified to include the previous requirement while adding former requirement 5.17.1.1 (registration with FDA and other Government Agencies as applicable).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check the written procedures for keeping current with regulations and registrations as applicable.

5.1.1.4 Critical – Procedures define:

- **Job description that identify responsibilities related to Prerequisite and Food Safety Programs**
- **Alternates/Deputies** that are designated to cover for the absence of key personnel
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.4.1.1 was simplified to only include responsibilities, alternates, and deputies instead of job descriptions.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check procedures that define responsibilities for key food safety roles and alternates/deputies.

5.1.1.5 Critical – Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) are documented for all Grain Safety Programs relating to grain receipt, site and grounds maintenance, employee practices, storage, and transportation.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.4.1.7 that has been moved here with no other change.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

5.1.1.6 Critical – Procedures are reviewed prior to the harvest season to ensure that they are current and applicable.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.4.1.8 that has been moved here with no other change.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

5.2 Support (formerly 5.3)

5.2.1.1 Critical – ~~All departments directly involved in implementing Prerequisite and Food Safety Programs have budget and labor support to maintain the proper and timely acquisition of appropriate tools, materials, equipment, monitoring devices, chemicals, or~~

~~other support.~~ **Adequate resources are provided** to support effective implementation of the Prerequisite and Food Safety Program.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.3.1.1. The language was modified with no change to the meaning or intent of the requirement.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

5.4 Written Procedures

- *Explanation of the change:* Removed standard. Key parts have been included under Standard 5.1.

5.4.1.1 Critical – ~~Procedures define:~~

- ~~Job Descriptions~~ that identify responsibilities related to Prerequisite and Food Safety Programs
- ~~Alternates/Deputies~~ that are designated to cover for the absence of key personnel
- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

5.4.1.2 Critical – The written procedures are **readily available** to facility personnel.

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

5.3 Training and Education (formerly 5.5)

5.3.1.1 Critical – There are **written procedures** for developing and delivering Prerequisite and Food Safety (HACCP) and Food Defense training and education to all personnel. Procedures include training as required by regulations.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.5.1.1. The language was modified to include the requirement for food defense training and HACCP training (former requirements 5.17.1.3 and 5.25.1.3, respectively). A requirement was added to address training as required by regulations (e.g., Qualified Individual).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check training schedules, participation records, and employee personal training files.

5.3.1.4 Critical – Prior to beginning work, **new employees, temporary personnel, and contractors** are trained and educated on Prerequisite and Food Safety Programs, **as related to their job function and level of responsibility**. These personnel are then supervised for compliance.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.5.1.4. Language was added to include training according to job function and the new requirement regarding training according to level of responsibility.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will check training procedures, plans, schedules, and personal files as necessary.

5.4 Self-Inspections (formerly 5.6)

5.4.1.3 Critical – The Food Safety Committee **documents the results** of the self-inspection. The documentation includes:

- Identified observations
- Corrective Actions
- **Root Cause Analysis and Preventive Actions for significant food safety risks**

- Specific assignments
- Actual accomplishments
- *Explanation of the change*: Former requirement 5.6.1.3. A requirement was added for the facility to conduct a root cause analysis for significant food safety hazards and apply preventive actions to eliminate the risk of future recurrence.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria*: Food Safety Professionals will check self-inspection records with respect to applicable elements as detailed above.

5.4.1.5 Critical – The Food Safety Committee and the responsible key personnel set **deadlines** for Corrective Action implementation **and Preventive Action as applicable**.

- *Explanation of the change*: Former requirement 5.6.1.5. Language was added to include responsibilities and timelines for preventive actions when there are such.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria*: Food Safety Professionals will check corrective and preventive action records.

5.4.1.6 Critical – The results of the Corrective **and Preventive** Actions are **verified** to ensure satisfactory completion.

- *Explanation of the change*: Former requirement 5.6.1.6. The language was modified to include preventive actions as applicable.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria*: No additional guidance is required.

5.4.2.2 Minor – **Follow-up inspections** ensure that **findings are addressed** ~~observations are corrected~~.

- *Explanation of the change*: Former requirement 5.6.2.2. The language was modified with no change to the meaning or intent of the requirement.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria*: No guidance is required.

5.5 Written Procedure Audits (formerly 5.7)

5.7.1.2 Critical – ~~The audits are carried out by competent auditors that are independent of the area of operation being evaluated.~~

- *Explanation of the change*: Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria*: No guidance is required.

5.7.1.3 Critical – ~~The auditor documents the results of the audit. The documentation includes:~~

- ~~Identified observations~~
- ~~Corrective Actions~~
- ~~Specific assignments~~
- ~~Actual accomplishments.~~
- *Explanation of the change*: Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria*: No guidance is required.

5.7.1.4 Critical – ~~Results of the audit are brought to the attention of the personnel responsible for the activity being audited.~~

- *Explanation of the change*: Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria*: No guidance is required.

5.7.1.5 Critical – ~~Responsible key personnel set deadlines for Corrective Action implementation.~~

- *Explanation of the change*: Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria*: No guidance is required.

5.7.1.6 Critical – ~~The results of Corrective Actions are verified to ensure satisfactory completion.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

5.6 Customer Compliant Program (formerly 5.8)

5.8.1.2 Critical – ~~The Customer Complaint Program includes a procedure for quick distribution of complaint information to all departments responsible for implementing Prerequisite and Food Safety Programs.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

5.8.1.3 Critical – ~~Actions appropriate to the seriousness and frequency of the complaints are carried out promptly and effectively.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

5.7 Chemical Control Program (formerly 5.9)

5.7.1.2 Critical – **Procedures address, as applicable:**

- Chemical approval
- Purchase authority
- Controlled and segregated storage
- Handling
- Labels/Labeling **and/or Technical Data Sheets**
- Identification of where and how the chemicals are to be used
- Concentration verification
- **Prevention of cross-contamination**
- Training and education
- Actual usage
- Inventory control
- Chemical disposal
- Container disposal
- ~~Spill containment and control~~
- ~~Chemical Safety Data Sheet archiving~~
- Contractor chemicals
- **Allergen declarations**
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.9.1.2. The language was modified to make the requirement more relevant to food safety and it includes two additions. Chemical safety data sheets are no longer required as they are more relevant to occupational safety; Technical Data sheets are required instead as they are more relevant to food safety. The updated requirement also includes a program to prevent cross-contamination and Allergen declarations for chemicals.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will review the chemical control program with respect to the elements detailed in this standard.

5.9 Allergen Control Program (formerly 5.11)

5.9.1.1 Critical – ~~The facility has a written~~ An Allergen Control Program **is in place** that addresses allergens ~~specific to country~~ **as handled in the facility, and as required by** regulations **in the country of manufacture and country of export.**

- *Explanation of the change:* The scope of the requirement was expanded to include allergens present in the plant and regulatory requirements of different countries (e.g., country of use).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

5.9.1.2 Critical – **Procedures address:**

- Identification and segregation of allergens during storage and handling
- Prevention of cross-contact or contamination during processing by using measures such as:
 - Production run scheduling
 - Control of rework
 - Dedicated production lines
 - Comprehensive changeover procedures
 - Equipment and utensils management
- Product label reviews and control
- Personnel awareness training and education
- Verification of cleaning procedures for food contact equipment
- Approved Supplier Program for ingredients and labels
- **Validation as applicable or available**
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.11.1.2. A new requirement was added for validation of the allergen control program and related procedures. Validation, as applicable, will be conducted on a defined frequency (e.g., yearly) or if there are changes made to procedures that will affect the efficacy of the program.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

5.9.1.3 Critical – The Program is **updated** when there are changes in:

- Ingredients
- Processing aids
- Ingredient suppliers
- Products
- Processes
- Labeling
- **Applicable regulations**
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.11.1.3. The requirement was expanded to update the program if there are changes in applicable regulations (e.g., changes in the regulated allergens in a country).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

5.10 Glass, Brittle Plastics, and Ceramics Program (formerly 5.12)

5.10.1.1 Critical – The facility has a **written** Glass, Brittle Plastics (**acrylic**), and Ceramics Program.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.12.1.1. Language was added for clarity with no change to the meaning or intent of the requirement. Brittle plastics referred to here are of the acrylic category.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

5.10.1.2 Critical – The ~~written Glass, Brittle Plastics, and Ceramics~~ program includes the following **policy statements**:

- No glass, brittle plastics, or ceramics are to be used in ~~the facility~~ **areas where potential for contamination might exist**, except where absolutely necessary or where removal is not immediately feasible.
- No glass, brittle plastics, or ceramics will be brought in with personal belongings.
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.12.1.2. The language was modified to increase accuracy. The former requirement stated “no glass, brittle plastics or ceramics are to be used in the facility,” which is not accurate because the requirement did not apply to office areas where contamination is not possible.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

5.10.1.3 Critical – **Procedures address:**

- Handling, breakage (including stored glass, brittle plastics, or ceramics)
- A register/list of essential glass, brittle plastics, and ceramics **where potential for contamination might exist**
- Scheduled inspections of essential glass, brittle plastics, and ceramics to check for accidental breakage or damage
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.12.1.3. The language was modified to increase accuracy (as above).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

5.12 Preventive Maintenance Program (formerly 5.14)

5.12.1.1 Critical – The facility has a **written** Preventive Maintenance Program and work order system that prioritizes structural, equipment, or utensil maintenance problems that could cause ~~grain food adulteration~~ **contamination**.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.14.1.1. The word “adulteration” was changed to “contamination” because adulteration is often used to mean intentional contamination.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

5.14 Regulatory Affairs and Inspections Program (formerly 5.16)

5.14.1.1 Critical – The facility has a **written** Regulatory Affairs and Inspections Program that includes:

- A list of personnel delegated to accompany all inspectors
- A policy regarding recording devices and cameras
- A policy regarding record and sample taking
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.16.2.1. The minor requirement was elevated to critical.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

5.15 Food Defense Program (formerly 5.17)

5.17.1.1 Critical – ~~The facility maintains evidence of FDA registration under the Bioterrorism Act and re-registers at the frequency defined by the FDA. This requirement applies only if the facility manufactures, processes, packs, holds and distributes, or exports food for human or animal consumption in the U.S.A.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* This is now covered under requirement 5.1.1.3.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

5.15.1.1 Critical – ~~The facility conducts a Vulnerability Assessment and documents the results. Acceptable Vulnerability Assessments may include:~~

- Operational Risk Management (ORM)
- ~~Threat Evaluation Assessment and Management (TEAM)~~
- ~~CARVER + Shock~~
- ~~Internal assessment form~~
- ~~C-TPAT~~

The facility has a written **Vulnerability Assessment**, which is conducted by person(s) trained in Food Defense. Vulnerability assessment is reviewed on a frequency based on regulation or at least annually.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.17.1.2. The language was modified to increase the accuracy and timeliness of the requirement. The vulnerability assessment needs to be conducted by trained personnel and reviewed at least annually (or as required by regulations).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will review food defense training records for personnel conducting the vulnerability assessment. The assessment will also be reviewed and evaluated.

5.15.1.2 Critical – ~~The written Food Defense Plan Program considers the vulnerability assessment and includes information related to:~~ **mitigation measures based on the Vulnerability Assessment.**

- ~~A trained Coordinator~~
- ~~Food Defense Team members and contact information~~
- ~~Key regulatory agency representatives and contact information~~
- ~~First responders and contact information~~
- ~~Annual documented food defense training and education~~
- ~~Annual Food Defense Program review~~
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.17.1.3. The language was modified to require the facility to put in place measures to mitigate identified vulnerabilities.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will review and evaluate measures taken to mitigate identified vulnerabilities.

5.16 Traceability Program (formerly 5.18)

5.16.1.6 Critical – ~~The traceability program is tested annually and documentation is maintained (one forward, one back) and results are documented to include:~~ **-Actual test results -Success rate-Test timings-Corrective actions and process improvements where gaps in the program have been identified.**

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.18.1.5. Further requirements were added for the facility to check actual test results, success rate and test timings, and to put in place Corrective Actions and improve the process as needed when gaps have been identified during the testing process.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will review traceability testing records and evaluate results, success rate, timings, and process improvements.

5.16.2.1 Minor – The facility maintains country of origin information for materials as required by regulations.

- *Explanation of the change:* A new requirement was added to address country of origin for raw materials if required by law (for example, by new EU labeling regulations, US regulations, etc.).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

5.19 Approved Supplier Program (formerly 5.21)

5.19.1.5 Critical – The facility has a written approved supplier program. Procedures address a current list of approved and non-approved suppliers based on food safety and economically motivated adulteration risks (food fraud), as applicable (e.g. country of origin).

- *Explanation of the change:* New requirement. A supplier approval program should be in place to evaluate suppliers based on risks for food safety or food fraud.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will review and evaluate the supplier approval program and associated records.

5.23 HACCP Program Food Safety Plan (formerly 5.25)

- *Explanation of the change:* The title was modified to be more current, to include different systems depending on the region (e.g., HACCP, Preventive Controls Program, and others, as applicable), and to be in line with GFSI Standards Schemes' terminology.

5.25.1.1 Critical – ~~Specific Prerequisite Programs are in place and functioning:~~

- ~~GMPs~~
- ~~Personnel Practices~~
- ~~Customer Complaint~~
- ~~Chemical Control~~
- ~~Cleaning~~
- ~~Preventive Maintenance~~
- ~~Transportation and Storage~~
- ~~Integrated Pest Management~~
- ~~Receiving~~
- ~~Traceability~~
- ~~Recall/Withdrawal~~
- ~~Allergen Control~~
- ~~Approved Supplier~~
- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed. All of these prerequisite programs are already required in this Standard (categories 1–4).

- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

5.23.1.1 Critical – The facility has a ~~written HACCP Program~~ that has been signed by senior management **identified all applicable regulatory requirements for food safety plans.**

- *Explanation of the change:* A new requirement was added: The facility needs to have identified all applicable regulatory requirements regarding its food safety plan.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will review records for identified regulatory requirements as applicable.

5.23.1.2 Critical – **Written food safety plans are in place where required by regulation.**

The facility has a ~~HACCP team~~ with members from multiple functions of the facility. The team has the following characteristics:

- ~~The team members have been trained~~
- ~~The HACCP Coordinator has documented HACCP training.~~
- *Explanation of the change:* The former requirement (5.25.1.3) about HACCP team was removed because a different approach might apply depending on the region (food safety team, HACCP team, qualified individual, and other). A food safety plan needs to be in place and should comply with applicable regulations.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will review and evaluate the food safety system.

5.23.1.4 Critical – The facility has ~~Finished Product Profiles~~ for each product type produced.

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed (included under 5.23.1.3).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

5.23.1.5 Critical – The facility has a ~~Process Flow Diagram~~ for each product type produced.

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed (included under 5.23.1.3).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

5.23.1.3 Critical – The facility follows the ~~Seven Principles of~~ **For products that aren't required to have a regulated food safety plan, a HACCP Program, based on Codex Alimentarius, must be written and implemented. The HACCP Program includes the 12 tasks as defined by FAO:**

1. The facility has ~~conducted and documented a Hazard Analysis~~ for each raw material and process step. In the case of facilities producing or exporting to the USA or other countries with regulations, regulatory (FDA) requirements for HARPC (Hazard Analysis Risk Based Preventive Controls) will be evaluated taking into consideration the defined hazard categories or country defined requirements. **Establish a HACCP Team.**
2. Based on the Hazard Analysis, the ~~Critical Control Points (CCPs)~~ are identified, and the procedures for controlling the hazards are described. **Describe the product.**
3. The ~~Critical Limits~~ for the CCPs are scientifically established and recorded. **Identify the product's intended use.**
4. The facility has established procedures for ~~Monitoring~~ the HACCP Program that include identification of frequency of activities and responsible person(s). **Draw up the commodity flow diagram.**
5. The facility has established procedures for ~~Deviation~~ from the HACCP Program that include identification of short term and long term Corrective Actions. **Onsite confirmation of the flow diagram.**

~~6. The facility has established procedures for Verification of the HACCP Program that include identification of frequency of activities and responsible person(s).~~ **Identify and analyze hazards.**

~~7. The facility has legible documented records of monitoring, deviation, and verification activities.~~ **Determine the critical control points.**

8. Establish critical limits for each CCP.

9. Establish a monitoring procedure.

10. Establish corrective action for identified deviation.

11. Verify the HACCP Plan.

12. Keep records

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.25.1.6. If no other regulation is in place, the facility is required to have a HACCP plan based on Codex Alimentarius requirements that will include all contents (12 steps) as defined by FAO.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will review and evaluate the HACCP plan.

5.25.1.7 Critical ~~The facility conducts and documents training on the HACCP Program. The training targets:~~

- Responsibility for management
- ~~Awareness for non-management personnel~~
- ~~Job-specific procedures for personnel working at a designated Critical Control Point (CCP)~~
- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed. The requirement is currently included under Training – 5.3 (5.3.1.1 and 5.3.1.4).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

5.23.1.4 Critical ~~Records demonstrate compliance to food safety plans.~~

- *Explanation of the change:* A new requirement was added that the food safety plan is implemented and followed and records demonstrate compliance.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will review food safety plan records.

5.23.1.5 Critical ~~The Critical Control Points (CCPs) identified are controlled and monitored within the HACCP Master Plan. Only qualified personnel who have defined responsibility for program compliance authorize the following:~~

- Amendments to records
- Corrective Actions
- Verification of Corrective Actions
- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.25.1.8 has been eliminated. A new requirement has been included to address qualified personnel with defined responsibilities for authorization purposes regarding amendments to records, corrective actions and their verification.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* Food Safety Professionals will review responsibilities regarding record amendments, corrective actions and verification.

5.23.1.6 Critical ~~The facility conducts a review of the HACCP Program annually or as changes occur (e.g. products or process). Records are available. Records are kept one year or two times the shelf life of the product, whichever is longer or as defined by regulatory requirements.~~ **Food Safety plans and procedures are reviewed as required on a frequency as**

defined by regulation. In the absence of regulation, reviews are conducted when there are product or process changes and at least annually.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.25.1.9. The language was modified with no change to the meaning or intent of the requirement regarding the program review.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.

5.25.1.10 Critical – Facilities that must comply with ~~regulatory HACCP~~ meet the defined requirements.

- *Explanation of the change:* Requirement removed (included under 5.23.1.1).
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No guidance is required.

5.26 Design Standards (formerly 5.28)

5.26.1.1 Critical – The facility has ~~design standards~~ that apply to **an effective mechanism** in place to ensure that **sanitary design principles** are considered as part of all structural and equipment designs, repairs, modifications, or purchases to reduce the potential for contamination, **cross-contact**, and pest infestations, and ~~make cleaning changes~~ to facilitate cleaning efforts.

- *Explanation of the change:* Former requirement 5.28.1.1. The facility needs to follow sanitary design principles.
- *Guidance on evaluation of criteria:* No additional guidance is required.